

# Operations, functions, relations and dependence

PALMYR 7, Paris, 6 October 2008 Philipp Keller

University of Geneva  
philipp.keller@lettres.unige.ch

## Abstract

GET Costello and Patterson (1998); Grünbaum (1973); Husserl (1891b); Burgess (1994); Divers (1996); King (1994); Kuhn (1979); Lemmon (1958); Parsons (1973); Reinhardt (1980); Tennant (2004); Baker and Hacker (2003); Black (1954); Boorse (2002); Dummett (1955); Hochberg (1971); Humberstone (1993); Johansson (2004); Menger (1953); Simons (1983); Stelzner (1976); Svenonius (1987); van Eijck (1991) Galton (2006)

HAVE IT IN THE BOOK Hylton (1994 1997), Kron (1988), Boorse (2002)

HAVE IT AS ARTICLE McGee (1996), Ule (1990); Wansing (1990) Mayer (1990); McGinn (1980); Bealer (1989); Bigelow and Pargetter (1987); Grossmann (1976); Hochberg (1995); Linsky (1988); Menger (1979); Varzi (1993)

IMPORTANT Williamson (1998)

Beck (2000)

## Varieties of dependence

When we say that how things are in one domain depends on how things are in another domain, we may mean at least three different things:

1. that there is a functional dependence (“determination”) of the elements of the second by the elements of the first;
2. that there is covariation (“supervenience”) between property distributions;
3. that the elements of the second (or their properties) are somehow ‘given by’ or ‘nothing but’ the elements of the first (or their properties).

Arguably the most innovative conceptual achievement of Gottlob Frege, the founder of modern logic, mathematics and philosophy, is the concept of a function. Frege was the first to explicitly pose the problem that “an expression containing  $x$ ” is ambiguous between the function, according to Frege an essentially unsaturated concept, and a compound name, denoting the value of that function for the argument  $x$ .

Husserl characterises this broad class as follows:

“...in the concept of the operation resides something of the production of an object. Some sort of activity directs itself upon the given object and produces a new object ...The main thing is: operation is a manner of conceptual transformation of the given whereby something novel originates, but something such that owing to the transformation I can also regard it as given.” (Husserl 1891a: 406)

Functions, in turn, may be considered extensionally, as an abstract univocal or ‘functional’ correspondance between two sets or just a set of ordered pairs satisfying the condition that it contains no two pairs with the same first, but different second members, or intensionally, as a prescription, or rule or algorithm, taking an argument and yielding a value determined by the argument. It is in the first sense that functions are indeterdefinable with sets (via the operations ‘being the graph of’ and ‘being

the characteristic function of'), while it is in the second that we say that non-computable functions are 'not really' functions.

A related distinction has been made between two different senses of "different" (cf. Beck 2000), where one (*verschieden*) is a relational adjective, the other (*anders*) is a comparison operator. In the first sense, "Luise owns a different car than Otto" is formalised as " $\exists x(\text{car}(x) \wedge \text{owns}(\text{Luise}, x) \wedge (\text{different}(x, \max(\lambda y(\text{car}(y) \wedge \text{owns}(\text{Otto}, y))))))$ ", whereas in the second it is formalised as " $\text{anders}(\text{Luise}, \text{Otto})(\lambda z \lambda v[\text{car}(v) \wedge (\text{owns}(z, v))])$  – in the first sense, we say of the two cars that they are different, while in the second we compare Luise and Otto with respect to the car-owning property (Beck 2000: 112).

In the case of functions, the talk about the function "yielding" a value is very misleading: functions do not yield anything, they simply characterise a range of things as being functionally determined by another range. As I will argue in the following, functions do not create nor characterise dependence relations, while operations significantly do so.

This crucial difference is responsible for two important distinctions between operations and functions: there is no incoherence in taking (some) functions to be applicable to themselves (as they are in  $\lambda$ -calculus), while this it is incoherent to talk about self-applying operations; operations are iterable while functions are not.

## Ontological Dependence

### What functions are

1 p

2 The proposition that p is true

3 It is true that p

4 (1) iff (2) iff (3)

5 If (2)  $\wedge$  (3), then (2) because (3)

Maybe the above does not presuppose Prior's analysis of (2). The argument for the claim that (2) and (3) do not mean the same thing is that the functor but not the predicate/function is iterable

### Truth as a predicate vs. truth as a functor

### Why operations are different from functions

## References

- Baker, Gordon P. and Hacker, Peter M.S., 2003. "Functions In *Begriffsschrift*". *Synthese* 135: 273–297
- Bealer, George, 1989. "On the Identification of Properties and Propositional Functions". *Linguistics and Philosophy* 12: 1–14
- Beck, Sigrid, 2000. "The Semantics of 'Different': Comparison Operator and Relational Adjective". *Linguistics and Philosophy* 23: 101–139
- Bigelow, John C. and Pargetter, Robert, 1987. "Functions". *The Journal of Philosophy* 84: 181–196
- Black, Max, 1954. "Frege on Functions". In *Problems of Analysis*, p. ?? Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press
- Boorse, Christopher, 2002. "A Rebuttal on Functions". In Ariew, André, Cummins, Robert, and Perlman, Mark, editors, *Functions. New Essays in the Philosophy of Psychology and Biology*, pp. 63–112. Oxford: Clarendon Press
- Burgess, John P., 1994. "Non-Classical Logic and Ontological Non-Commitment: Avoiding Abstract Objects through Modal Operators". In Prawitz, Dag, Skyrms, Brian, and Westerståhl, Dag, editors,

- Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science IX: Proceedings of the Ninth International Congress of Logic, Methodology, and Philosophy of Science, Uppsala, Swede, August 7-14, 1991*, number 134 in *Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics*, pp. 287–305. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.
- Costello, Tom and Patterson, Anna, 1998. “Quantifiers and Operations on Modalities and Contexts”. In Cohn, Anthony G., Schubert, Lenhart K., and Shapiro, Stuart C., editors, *KR’98: Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning*, pp. 270–281. San Francisco, California: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Inc.
- Divers, John, 1996. “Supervenience for operators”. *Synthese* 106: 103–12
- Dummett, Michael A. E., 1955. “Frege on Functions”. *The Philosophical Review* 64: 97–107. Reprinted in Dummett (1978)
- Dummett, Michael A. E., 1978. *Truth and Other Enigmas*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press
- van Eijck, Jan, 1991. “Semantics of Functional Words”. In von Stechow, Arnim and Wunderlich, Dieter, editors, *Semantik / Semantics: ein internationales Handbuch zeitgenössischer Forschung*, pp. 459–487. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter
- Galton, Antony, 2006. “Operators vs. Arguments: The Ins Outs of Reification”. *Synthese* 150: 415–441
- Grossmann, Reinhardt Siegbert, 1976. “Structures, Functions and Forms”. In Schirn, Matthias, editor, *Studien zu Frege II: Logik und Sprachphilosophie*, number 43 in *problemata*, pp. 11–32. Stuttgart – Bad Cannstatt: Frommann-Holzboog
- Grünbaum, Adolf, 1973. “Can an Infinitude of Operations be Performed in a Finite Time?” In *Philosophical Problems of Space and Time*, pp. 630–645. Dordrecht: D. Reidel Publishing Co., 2 edition. Enlarged edition
- Haller, Rudolf and Brandl, Johannes L., editors, 1990. *Proceedings of the 14th International Wittgenstein-Symposium: Wittgenstein – Towards a Re-Evaluation*, volume III of *Schriftenreihe der Österreichischen Ludwig Wittgenstein Gesellschaft*. Wien: Hölder-Pichler-Tempsky
- Hochberg, Herbert, 1971. “Frege on Concepts as Functions: A Fundamental Ambiguity”. *Theoria* 37: 21–32. Reprinted in Hochberg (1984)
- Hochberg, Herbert, 1984. *Logic, Ontology, and Language: Essays on Truth and Reality*. München: Philosophia Verlag
- Hochberg, Herbert, 1995. “Abstracts, Functions, Existence and Relations in the Russell-Meinong Dispute, the Bradley Paradox”. *Grazer Philosophische Studien* 50: 273–291
- Humberstone, I. Lloyd, 1993. “Functional Dependencies, Supervenience, and Consequence Relations”. *Journal of Logic, Language, and Information* 2: 309–336
- Husserl, Edmund, 1891a. *Philosophie der Arithmetik: psychologische und logische Untersuchung*, volume I. Halle a.S.: C.E.M. Pfeffer
- Husserl, Edmund, 1891b. “Zum Begriff der Operation”. In Husserl, Edmund, editor, *Philosophie der Arithmetik, mit ergänzenden Texten (1890–1901)*, number XII in *Husserliana*, pp. 408–429. Den Haag: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers. Ed. by Lothar Eley
- Hylton, Peter, 1994. “Functions and Propositional Functions in *Principia Mathematica*”. In *Russell and Analytic Philosophy*, pp. 342–360. Toronto: University of Toronto Press
- Hylton, Peter, 1997. “Functions, Operations, and Sense in Wittgenstein’s *Tractatus*”. In Tait, William Walker, editor, *Early Analytic Philosophy: Frege, Russell, Wittgenstein. Essays In Honor of Leonard Linsky*, pp. 91–105. Chicago, Illinois: Open Court Publishing Co.
- Johansson, Ingvar, 2004. “Functions, Function Concepts, and Scales”. *The Monist* 86: 96–115
- King, Jeffrey C., 1994. “Anaphora and Operators”. In Tomberlin, James E., editor, *Philosophical Perspectives 8: Logic and Language*, pp. 221–250. Oxford: Basil Blackwell Publishers
- Kron, Aleksandar, 1988. “Temporal Modalities and Modal Tense Operators”. In Pavković, Aleksandar, editor, *Contemporary Yugoslave Philosophy: The Analytic Approach*, number 36 in *Nijhoff International*

- Philosophy Series, pp. 175–184. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers
- Kuhn, Steven T., 1979. “Quantifiers as Modal Operators”. *Studia Logica (Poznan Panstwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe)* 39: 145–158
- Lemmon, Edward John, 1958. “Quantifiers and Modal Operators”. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* 58: 245–268
- Linsky, Bernard, 1988. “Propositional Functions and Universals in *Principia Mathematica*”. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy* 66: 447–460
- Mayer, Verena E., 1990. “Die Rolle des N-Operators im *Tractatus*”. In Haller and Brandl (1990), pp. 19–24
- McGee, Vann, 1996. “Logical Operations”. *The Journal of Philosophical Logic* 25: 567–580
- McGinn, Colin, 1980. “Operators, Predicates, and Truth-Theory”. In Platts, Mark, editor, *Reference, Truth, and Reality: Essays on the Philosophy of Language*, p. ?? London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, Ltd.
- Menger, Karl, 1953. “The Ideas of Variable and Function”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the U.S.A.* 39: 956–961
- Menger, Karl, 1979. “A Theory of the Application of the Function Concept to Science”. In *Selected Papers in Logic and Foundations, Didactics, Economics*, number 10 in Vienna Circle Collection, pp. 136–143. Dordrecht: D. Reidel Publishing Co.
- Parsons, Terence D., 1973. “Tense Operators versus Quantifiers”. *The Journal of Philosophy* 70: 609–610
- Reinhardt, William N., 1980. “Necessary Predicates and Operators”. *The Journal of Philosophical Logic* 9: 437–450
- Simons, Peter M., 1983. “Function and Predicate”. *Conceptus: Zeitschrift für Philosophie* 17: 75–90
- Stelzner, Werner, 1976. “Functor Variables, Function Variables, and Quasifunctors”. In *XXIInd Conference on the History of Logic, 5-9 July, 1976, Kraków*, pp. 39–42. Kraków: Jagiellonian University Press
- Svenonius, Lars, 1987. “Three Ways to Conceive of Functions and Relations”. *Theoria* 53: 31–58
- Tennant, Neil W., 2004. “A General Theory of Abstraction Operators”. *The Philosophical Quarterly* 54: 105–133
- Ule, Andrej, 1990. “Der Begriff der Operation im *Tractatus* und die allgemeine Form der Wahrheitsfunktion”. In Haller and Brandl (1990), pp. 25–27
- Varzi, Achille C., 1993. “Do we Need Functional Abstraction?” In Czermak, Johannes, editor, *Proceedings of the 15th International Wittgenstein Symposium: Wittgenstein's Philosophy of Mathematics (part 1)*, number 20/1 in Schriftenreihe der Österreichischen Ludwig Wittgenstein Gesellschaft, pp. 407–415. Wien: Hölder-Pichler-Tempsky
- Wansing, Heinrich Theodor, 1990. “A General Possible Worlds Framework for Reasoning about Knowledge and Belief”. *Studia Logica (Poznan Panstwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe)* 49: 523–539
- Williamson, Timothy, 1998. “Iterated operators”. In Smiley, Timothy J., editor, *Philosophical Logic*, p. ?? Oxford: Oxford University Press