

Exemplification

Philipp Keller

19.2.01

Presuppositions:

- there are Armstrongian universals
- modal realist talk is sensible
- exemplification is a problem

Contents:

- Properties
- Machinery
- Mereology
- Natures
- Mereology of Properties
- Relations
- Exemplification

1 (Identity of Indiscernibles) *If x and y are indiscernible, then they are identical.*

2 (Exemplification Thesis) *All (actual) universals are exemplified.*

3 (Immanent Universals) *A universal is a (nonspatiotemporal) part of every particular that exemplifies it.*

4 *A property is intrinsic to a iff it does not differ between duplicates of a . Otherwise, it is extrinsic to a .*

5 *A relation is internal iff it supervenes on the intrinsic (and hence the basic intrinsic) properties of its relata. A relation is external iff it is intrinsic but not internal.*

6 *A property F is essential to a iff a and $aquaF$ have the same counterparts. F is definite to a iff any counterpart of $aquaF$ is a counterpart of a . F is accidental to a iff it is neither essential nor definite to a .*

7 (Unrestricted Composition) *Whenever there are some things, they have a mereological fusion.*

8 (Ontological innocence of mereology) *Fusions are nothing over and above the parts they are composed of.*

(1)

$\exists \phi \square \forall x, y, z (x = y \oplus z \Rightarrow (y \text{ has } P \Leftrightarrow x \text{ has } \phi))$

(2)

$\exists \psi \square \forall x, y, z (x = y \oplus z \Rightarrow (x \text{ has } P \Leftrightarrow y \text{ has } \psi))$

9 *Whenever there are some F s, they have a fusion which is F .*

10 (Uniqueness of Composition) *Only one whole is composed of some given parts.*

11 *Something x is a universal iff it is part of at least two worlds.*

12 *A particular a is a substance iff it is a world.*

13 *A property F is the intrinsic nature of a substance a iff it is the fusion of all universals that are part of a and any other world.*

14 *Two things are duplicates iff they have the same intrinsic nature.*

“A universal is *particularizing*, if it yields an unambiguous answer to the question whether or not a particular is *one* instance of it.” (1978: 138)

(3)

For any two different properties F and G :
 $F \oplus G$ is exemplified by c iff
 $c = a \oplus b$ and a exemplifies F
and b exemplifies G .

a has R to an $F \iff \exists x$
 $(x$ is an F and a has R to $x)$

(4) a has R to $b \iff \exists F, G$
 F is the intrinsic nature of $a \wedge$
 G is the intrinsic nature of b
 $\wedge a$ has R to an $F \wedge b$ has R to a $G)$

(5) $R = \text{having } R \text{ to } b \oplus \text{having } R \text{ to } a$

15 A particular x instantiates a (monadic) universal F (has the property F) iff F is a common part of x and x 's nature. Some particulars $x_1 \dots x_n$ exemplify an n -ary universal R (stand in the relation R) iff $x_1 \dots x_n$ exemplify the corresponding relational properties.